

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	R .		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/694.54	2 08/09/9	96 WHITEHOUSE		C	840.052
-		MM2170421	¬ [EXAMINER
MORRIS E COHEN				ANDERSON. B	
LEVISOHN LERMER BERGER & LANGSAM				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
757 THIRD NEW YORK				2878	
		*		DATE MAILED:	• • •

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

04/21/98

Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/694,542

Examiner

Office Action Summary

WHITEHOUSE ET. AL.

BRUCE ANDERSON

Group Art Unit 2878



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 9, 1998	
X This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set t is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensi 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗔 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	
$\hfill \square$ received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	40
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	40
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/694,542 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 529,885 or Douglas (736) in view of Bier (425), Vestal (533), and Michael "an ion trap storage/time-of-flight mass spectrometer".

EP patent 529,885 and Douglas disclose an apparatus for analyzing chemical species comprising: at least two vacuum stages; at least one multiple ion guide (Douglas col.2, line 60 and EP Fig. 1) having plurality of plural poles; electrode elements located at the entrance and exit of

Serial Number: 08/694,542 Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

said at least one guide; a time of flight spectrometer (TOF) located in said one of said vacuum stages; and means to apply voltages to said guide to trap and induce collisions in such to produce daughter fragments which may be then analyzed (see page 8, lines 52-54 of EP patent). Note EP patent discloses on page 9, lines 23-24 of the specification that said ion guide may have quadruple or octopole or hexapole set of rods. In both the EP and Douglas patents the TOF axis is parallel to the ion guide axis. However, Bier alternatively discloses in claim 1 that a trapping mass spectrometer having a quadruple field present (which could be used as a guide), may direct trapped ions in a orthogonal direction to said trapping chamber, to detect such.

The ion sources of both the primary references is substantially at atmospheric pressure and may be sources such as electrospray (page 2, line 3 of EP or col. 4, lines 12-13 of Douglas). The EP reference also discloses that the at least one ion guide may serve as an ion trap and to filter out unwanted ions page 6, second paragraph of the specification.

The secondary reference to Vestal discloses a similar arrangement but uses a series of ion guides (118,122), prior to the mass analysis by quadruple (124) (Figure 5). Note fragmentation occurs in the second guide 122, prior to final analysis. Michael further discloses that if ions are trapped in a first guide by a quadruple means, then the ions released may be detected by a reflectron in series with such.

Therefore, it would appear applicants are claiming a combination of well known elements such as one or multiple ion guides, within plural chambers and having the fragmented ions

Serial Number: 08/694,542 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

detected by a variety of different TOF analyzers, i.e. reflectron(s), ion traps; or detectors perpendicular to such.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstrate is too long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jolliffe, Franzen (035), Schwartz, Bier (987), Mylchreest, and Henion have been cited to show other similar devices.
- 5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 6. This is a CPA of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/694,542. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

this final action.

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 7. should be directed to Ex. Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 308-4851.

> **BRUCE ANDERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER**